Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry

Volume 10, Issue 3, Page 102-113, 2024; Article no.AJRAF.120997
ISSN: 2581-7418

Dynamics of Woody Species Composition and Diversity as a Result of Conversion of Open Grazing Land to an Exclosure in Northern Ethiopia: The Case of Tigray Lowlands

Kiros Abay [a*]

[a] *Shire-Maitsebri Agricultural Research Center, Tigray Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i3303

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120997

Original Research Article

Received: 26/05/2024
Accepted: 30/07/2024
Published: 10/08/2024

ABSTRACT

Exclosures have been establishedon open grazing lands to tackle environmental degradation in Ethiopia, particularly in Tigray region. However, little has been know with regard to the effect of establishing exclosures on open grazing lands especially in Lowlands of Tigray region, northern Ethiopia. Hence, this study was conducted to explore the effect of conversion of grazing lands to an exclosure on woody species composition and diversity at Tselemti district, which was taken as testing site to represent the lowlands of Tigray. To collect data on vegetation, three line transects, parallel to each other and across the slope were laid in the exclosure and open grazing lands systematically at 150 meters interval. Along each transect line, six sample plots measuring

**Corresponding author: E-mail: kirosabay01@gmail.com;*

***Cite as:** Abay, Kiros. 2024. "Dynamics of Woody Species Composition and Diversity As a Result of Conversion of Open Grazing Land to an Exclosure in Northern Ethiopia: The Case of Tigray Lowlands". Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry 10 (3):102-13. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i3303.*

20m×20m were laid down at 100 meters intervals from each other. So, a total of 36 plots (18 from grazing land and 18 from exclosure), measuring 20m*20m, were established along 6 transects for vegetation sampling. 41 and 16 woody species were recorded in the exclosure and grazing land respectively. Shannon diversity, richness, evenness and density were found to be significantly higher (*P<0.05*) in exclosure than grazing land. It can be concluded that conversion of open grazing lands to exclosures is a viable option to restore degraded vegetation. For this reason, additional exclosures have to be established on previously degraded open grazing lands in the area and areas with similar biophysical setup.

Keywords: Exclosure, grazing land; dynamics; conversion; lowlands; natural regeneration.

1. INTRODUCTION

“In response to environmental problems, communities in the Northern highlands of Ethiopia started to establish exclosures about three decade ago” [1]. “Exclosures are areas closed off from the interference of human and domestic animals with the goal of promoting natural regeneration of plants and reducing land degradation of formerly degraded communal grazing lands. Exclosures are usually established in steep, eroded and areas that have been used for grazing in the past” [2]. “Tigray, northern Ethiopia, is one of the most environmentally degraded regions in Ethiopia, characterized by erratic rainfall, overgrazing, deforestation, soil erosion, soil moisture stress, loss of biodiversity and soil fertility decline” [3].

“To overcome the challenges of land degradation exclosures wereestablished in Tigray region the region in the last 30 and more years” (Nedessa et al., 2005). Exclosures are areas exempted from the interference of human and domestic animals with the goal of reducing land degradation and promoting natural regeneration of plants of formerly degraded grazing lands.

Studies conducted by authors [4-9,1] have shown “the positive role of exclosures on biodiversity enhancement and degraded soil restorations in the region. However, most of these studies focused in the mid (1500 – 2300 meter above sea level) and highlands (> 2300 meter above sea level) with limited intention to the lowlands (< 1500 meter above sea level) such as the study area, Tselemti district”. “The effectiveness of restoration options can be affected by the differences in ecological and socio-economic conditions, political and historical contexts and level of management” [10]. “The effect of land use conversion on environmental restoration is variable and it depends on soil type, land use history, vegetation type, climate, topography and current land use and land cover” [11] and according to Mekuria et al. [7] “the effectiveness of establishing exclosures to restore degraded open grazing lands varies across different localities due to heterogeneity of exclosure management, soil, slopes, climate and topography. Therefore, studies which investigate the role of exclosures under different agro-ecologies, socio-economic conditions, soil types and level of management are crucial”. Hence, this study was conducted to explore the effect of establishing exclosures in previously degraded communal grazing lands on woody species composition diversity in Tselemti district, Northern Ethiopia representing the lowlands of Tigray region.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted at Mai-Saba exclosure and its adjacent grazing land, Sekota-Mariam Kebelle (the smallest political administration units in Ethiopia) in Tselemti district,Tigray, Northern Ethiopia (Fig. 1), which is 380 km far from Mekelle, capital city of Tigray region, towards North West. The district has a total area of 19,615 km^2, of which 4066 km^2 is cultivated land, 3500km^2 is forest area and the remaining is other land use types. The study site is located at $13^0$05’ latitude and $38^0$18’longitude at an altitude of 1350 meter above sea level (m a.s.l) [12]. Areas characterized at an elevation of <1500, but >500 m a.s.l. are classified as lowland or locally called ‘Kolla’ [13].

The study area is characterized by hot to dry semi-arid lowland plain with a very hot temperature. Five years (2012-2016) temperature data show that the temperature in the study areavaries from 15.6°C in January to 38.6°C in April. The dry season occurs between November and April while the rainy season occurs between June and September (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1. Location map of the study area



Fig. 2. Five years (2012–2016) mean monthly rainfall (mm) and maximum and minimum mean monthly temperatures of the study area

Source: Tigray meteorological services cente

"Nitosols, cambisols and Vertisols are the most dominant soil types of the study area. *Anogeisus leiocarpus, Balanites aegyptica, Cordia africana, Croton macrostachyus, Ficus sycomorus, Ficus thonningii, Ficus vasta, Stereospermum kunthianum, Ziziphus spinachristi, Boswellia papyrifera,Vanguriaedulis,Dodonea angustifolia and Acacia abysinica* are some of the dominant plant species in the district. The community mainly depends on mixed agriculture (both crop and animal husbandry) for livelihood. The dominant crops grown are *Sorghum bicolour* L., *Zea mays* L. and *Eleusine coracana* L" [12] and the major livestock herds are donkeys, cattle, chicken and goats.

2.2 Experimental Design, Data Collections and Analysis

2.2.1 Experimental design and vegetation data collection

"Space for time substitution approach was used for data collection. The assumption of this approach is that exclosures and adjacent grazing lands had similar initial conditions before the establishment of exclosures" [14]. "To collect data on vegetation, three line transects, parallel to each other and across the slope were laid in the exclosure and open grazing lands systematically at 150 meters interval. Along each transect line, six sample plots measuring 20m×20m" [15] were laid down at 100 meters intervals from each other (Fig. 3). The first plot was laid down randomly and the other plots systematically at equal interval in each of the transects.To avoid the effect of disturbances, the first and the last line transects and plots were laid at a distance of at least 30m from the edges.

Thus, a total of 36 plots (18 plots from each land use type) were used to collect data on vegetation. All woody species with diameter > 2.5cm [16] were identified, counted and recorded by their local name and measured in each plot in both land uses. "The plots were marked using strings and wooden pegs and the counted and recorded woody species were marked using a chalk not to miss or count an individual twice. The woody species encountered in the plots were identified supported by the local residents. The scientific name of the species was identified from: The scientific name of the species were identified from: species list Tigrigna-scientific" [17] and useful trees and shrubs for Ethiopia [18].

2.3 Vegetation Data Analysis

The vegetation data were analyzed by computing the density, frequency, dominance, diversity indices, importance value index (IVI) and coefficient of floristic similarity using excel.

Density: was computed by summing up all the individuals from all sample plots and translated to hectare base for all the species. Two sets of density were calculated: density/ha of each species and relative density, which was calculated as the ratio of the density of a given species to the sum total of the density of all species:

Relativedensity= Density of species A in hectare base / Density of all species in hectare base *100 Eq (1)



Fig. 3. Field layout for exclosures (left) and its adjacent open grazing land (right)

Frequency: It shows the presence or absence of a given species in each sample quadrant. Two sets of frequency were calculated, absolute frequency, which refers to the number of plots in which the woody species encountered and relative frequency, calculated as the ratio of the absolute frequency of a given species to the sum total of the frequency of all species:

Relativefrequency= Freqency of species A / Frequency of all species *100 Eq (2)

Dominance: It refers to the degree of coverage of a given species expressed by a space it occupied in a given area. Two sets of dominance were calculated: absolute dominance (the sum of basal areas of the stems in m^2/ha), and relative dominance: ratio of the total basal area of a given species to the sum of total stem basal areas of all species. Dominance was calculated for individual stems with diameter > 2.5cm [16].

Relativedominance=DominanceofspeciesA / Dominanceofallspecies *100 Eq (3)

Basal area (BA) was computed using the formula:-

$$BA = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \quad \text{Eq (4)}$$

Where BA= basal area in m^2; π=3.14; D=diameter

2.4 Importance Value Index (IVI)

It refers to the relative ecological importance of each species in a given area. It was calculated by summing up the relative dominance, relative density and relative frequency of the species as follows:

IVI=Rd+ RD+ RF (Eq 5) [19]

Where Rd is relative density, RD is relative dominance and RF is relative frequency.

2.5 Diversity Indices

Species diversity was estimated using Shannon Wiener Diversity Index and evenness (Kent & Coker 1992):

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} \text{pi} \ln \text{pi} \quad \text{(eq. 6)}$$

Where:

H'= Shannon diversity index
s = number of species

Pi=the proportion of individuals or the abundance of the ith species expressed as a proportion of the total

ln= natural logarithm

Evenness: was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Evenness } (J') = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} \text{pi} \ln \text{pi} / \ln s \quad \text{(Eq 7).}$$

Where: S = number of species and ln is a natural log.

2.6 Coefficient of Floristic Similarity

Sorensen's similarity index (Ks) (Sorensen, 1948) was used to determine the similarity of woody species between exclosure and grazing land using the following formula:

$$\text{Similarity(Ks)} = \frac{2c}{(a+b)} * 100 \quad \text{Eq (8)}$$

Where, Ks=Sorensen's similarity coefficient

a= number of species in exclosure
b= number of species in grazing land
c= number of species common to both land use systems

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data were first checked for normality. Those data which were not normally distributed were log transformed. All variables were subjected to paired samples t-test statistics at 5% level of significance using SPSS version 20 to compare the land uses.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Woody Species Composition

A total of 41 woody plant species which belong to 22 families were recorded at the exclosure (Table 2), while 16 species which belong to 12 families (Table 3) were encounteredat the grazing land. This shows that the exclosure had 25 more species and 10 more families as compared to the grazing area. This could be related to the high chance for emergence and survival of new seedlings from the seed bank in exclosures while the continuous removal of seedlings by livestock grazing, browsing and trampling at the open grazing land [20,8]. The soil fertility enhancement due to litter fall in exclosures could also be another reason for the increment of species and families in the exclosures as it provides suitable media for plant growth and re-growth [21]. "The finding of this study concurs to a finding of Manaye [9], who reported that the number of species in closed areas was almost twice that of adjacent grazing land in Endamekoni district, Southern Tigray. Similar results were also reported by other authors from different parts of Ethiopia" [6] Mekuria and Yami, [15] Gebremedihin et al., [22] Shimelse et al., [23].

"Fabaceae and Moracea were families with relatively higher number of species in the exclosure, represented by five and fourspecies respectively. These two families contributed to 22% of the species composition. The dominance of Fabaceae was reported from similar prior studies" [8,24,25]. This could be attributed to successful seed dispersal mechanism of the family [26]. Combretaceae, Celastraceae, Moraceae and Rhamnaceae were relatively the four most diverse families in grazing land each represented by 2 species and constituting 50% of the species composition.

3.2 Density, Diversity Indices and Similarity of Woody Species

The woody species density was significantly($p<0.001$) higher at the exclosure(1301.4 trees ha^{-1}) than the grazing land (152.8 trees ha^{-1}), indicating more than eightfold higher in the exclosure than the grazing land (Table 1), which is related to continuous disturbances by human and livestock in the grazing land. This finding is in line with the findings of Tekalign [27], Mekuria et al. [7] and Asmare and Gure [24]. The study also revealed the existence of variation in density among the woody species. Few species such as *Dodonaea angustifolia*(638.9 stems ha^{-1}), *Anogeisus leiocarpus* (272.2 stems ha^{-1}) and *Vanguria edulis* (163.9 stems ha^{-1}) were dominant at theexclosure (Table 2). These three species contributed to 83% of the total density. Likewise, *Anogeisus leiocarpus* was found to be the densest species at grazing land with 83.3 stems ha^{-1}contributing to 54.5% of the total plant density (Table 3). In contrast, 21 species in the exclosure and 4 species in the grazing land were found to be the least abundant with 1 stem ha^{-1} each. The dominance of some species could be due to overharvesting of some selective plant species.

The Shannon diversity index was also significantly ($p<0.05$) higher at the exclosure (1.24 ± 0.13) as compared to the grazing land (0.91±0.08) (Table 1). Similarly, the species richness at the exclosure (6.89 ± 0.87) was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher than that of the grazing land (3 ± 0.21). The higher diversity at the exclosures might be due to increased litter accumulation which leads to an increase in organic matter and other nutrients content [28]. Similar trend was reported by Mengistu et al. [29] Bahiru [30] Asmamaw [31-33] and Mekuria et al. [7] from different locations of Ethiopia. However, the species evenness was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher at the grazing land (0.84 **±** 003) as compared to the exclosure (0.69 **±** 0.05). This might be due to the uneven distribution of species in the exclosure as a result of high heterogeneity, whereas, even the small numbers of species in grazing land were found to be distributed evenly. The same result was reported by Gebremedihin et al [22] from four highland districts of Tigray region, who indicated high Shannon diversity and richness, but less evenly distributed species in exclosures, while grazing land had low species richness with high species evenness value.

The Sorenson's similarity of species encountered at the exclosure and grazing land was 56.1%, indicating a difference of 43.9% in woody species composition between the land use types.Worku [16] indicated that 56.25% similarity index is low, whereas 80% is high. Accordingly, the result in this study revealed that the land uses had low similarity. This is because among the 41 encountered woody species, only 16 were found in common, as a result of protection of woody species in the exclosure which leads to a restoration of species that were lost in the grazing land, while continuous human and livestock disturbance in the grazing land [34-35].

3.3 Frequency, Dominance and Importance Value Index (IVI)

Frequency analyses in the present study showed that most of the woody species had low distribution across the plots and few species in high distribution which revealed that there was an existence of high variation among species. For instance, *Dodonaea angustifolia* and *Vanguria edulis* were the most frequent species in the exclosure recorded in 16 and 15 out of 18 sample plots respectively followed by *Anogeisus leiocarpus*. In contrast, 22 species were encountered only in one plot (Table 2). Likewise, *Anogeisus leiocarpus* and *Ziziphus spina-christi* were the most frequent species in grazing land recorded in 16 and 5 plots respectively out of the 18 plots, while 5 species were recorded only in one plot (Table 3).

Table 1. Comparison of Shannon diversity index, richness, evenness and density between exclosure and grazing land (Mean ± SEM)

Land use	Density(stems/ha)	Shannon diversity index	Evenness	Richness
EX (n=18)	1301.4 ±180.83 [a]	1.24±0.13 [a]	0.69±0.05 [b]	6.89±0.87 [a]
GL (n=18)	152.8 ±9.01 [b]	0.91±0.08 [b]	0.84±0.03 [a]	3±0.21 [b]
P-values	0.000	0.027	0.004	0.001

Means followed by the same letter across each column do not differ significantly at p<0.05. n indicates number of plots. EX= exclosure, GL Grazing land

Table 2. Abundance (Ab), relative abundance (RA %), density per hectare (den./ha), relative density (R.den(%), dominance per hectare (Dom(m²/ha), relative dominance (R.Dom(%), frequency (Fre.), relative frequency (R. Fre (%), importance value index (IVI%) of woody species sampled in exclosure

Species scientific name	Local name	Ab.	RA%	den./ha	R. den (%)	Dom(m²/ha)	R. Dom(%)	Fre.	R. Fre (%)	IVI%
Anogeisus leiocarpus	Hanse	196	20.9	272.2	20.9	5.9	36.2	12	9.7	66.8
Cassia singueanea	HamboHambo	10	1.1	13.9	1.1	0.0	0.1	8	6.5	7.7
Vangueria edulis	Guramayle	118	12.6	163.9	12.6	4.0	25	15	12.1	49.7
Ficus sycomorus	Sagla	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.7	1	0.8	1.6
Ziziphus jujube	Abetere	3	0.3	4.2	0.3	0.1	0.5	2	1.6	2.4
Dovyalis abyssinica	Ayahada	41	4.4	56.9	4.4	0.6	3.6	7	5.6	13.6
Dichrostachys cinearea	Gonoq	6	0.6	8.3	0.6	0.2	1.3	3	2.4	4.3
Lanneafruticosa	Dugdugugni	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	1	0.8	1
Ziziphus spina-christi	Gaba	2	0.2	2.8	0.2	0.2	1.1	2	1.6	3
Ficus hochstettelri	Afekemo	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.00	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Gardenia lutea	Hatsinay	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.00	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Acacia polyacantha	Gomoro	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.00	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Cordia africana	Awhi	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	1	0.8	1.2
Maytenusarbutifolia	Atat	21	2.2	29.2	2.2	0.6	3.4	8	6.5	12.1
Diospyros mespiliformis	Aye	5	0.5	6.9	0.5	0.2	0.9	2	1.6	3.1
Acokanthera schimperi	Mebtie	6	0.6	8.3	0.6	0.3	1.8	4	3.2	5.6
Rhus natalensis	Tetialo	24	2.6	33.3	2.6	0.6	3.9	7	5.6	12.1
Dodonaea angustifolia	Tahses	460	49.1	638.9	49.1	2.4	14.9	16	12.9	76.9
Acacia persiciflora	Trmi	6	0.6	8.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	2	1.6	2.3
Carissa edulis	Agam	2	0.2	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.2	2	1.6	2
Boswellia papyrifera	Meker	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.3	1	0.8	1.2
Ficus vasta	Daero	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.2	1.1	1	0.8	2

Species scientific name	Local name	Ab.	RA%	den./ha	R. den (%)	Dom(m²/ha)	R. Dom(%)	Fre.	R. Fre (%)	IVI%
Acacia albida	Momona	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.3	1	0.8	1.2
Maytenussenegalensis	Argudi	5	0.5	6.9	0.5	0.0	0.2	3	2.4	3.1
Diospyros abyssinica	Tselimo	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.3	1	0.8	1.2
Ficusingens	Tsekente	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.5	1	0.8	1.4
Acacia seyal	Chea	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Trichiliaemetica	Gume	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.3	1	0.8	1.2
Capparismicrantha	Andel	3	0.3	4.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	3	2.4	3
Jacaranda mimosifilia	Bus	2	0.2	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.3	2	1.6	2.1
Sterospermumkunthianum	Adgizana	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	1	0.8	1.3
Grewiaferruginea	Tsnquya	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	1	0.8	1
Eucleaschimperi	Kilio	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Otostegiaintegrifolia	Chindog	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Grewiaflavescens	Mesoqua	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	1	0.8	0.9
Calpurnia aurea	Hitsawts	2	0.2	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	2	1.6	1.8
Ximeniaamericana	Milio	2	0.2	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	1	0.8	1
Ehretiacymosa	Kirah	2	0.2	2.8	0.2	0.1	0.6	2	1.6	2.4
Bosciaangustifolia	Kermed	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.7	1	0.8	1.7
Croton macrostachyus	Tambook	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.7	1	0.8	1.6
Terminalia brownii	Weiba	1	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	1	0.8	1
Total		937		1301.4		16.3		124		

Table 3. Abundance (Ab), relative abundance (RA %), density per hectare (den./ha), relative density (R.den (%), dominance per hectare (Dom (m^2/ha), relative dominance (R.Dom(%), frequency (Fre.), relative frequency (R.Fre(%), importance value index (IVI%) of woody species sampled in grazing land

Species scientific name	Local name	Ab.	RA%	den./ha	R. den (%)	Dom(m^2/ha)	R.Dom (%)	Fre.	R.Fre (%)	IVI%
Anogeisus leiocarpus	Hanse	60	54.5	83.3	54.5	3.5	52.8	16	30.2	137.5
Maytenussenegalensis	Argudi	1	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.1	1.3	1	1.9	4.1
Vangueria edulis	Guramayle	3	2.7	4.2	2.7	0.1	1.6	2	3.8	8.1
Ficus sycomorus	Sagla	3	2.7	4.2	2.7	0.4	6.1	2	3.8	12.6
Boswellia papyrifera	Meker	5	4.5	6.9	4.5	0.4	5.8	4	7.5	17.9
Terminalia brownii	Weiba	5	4.5	6.9	4.5	0.1	2.3	4	7.5	14.3
Dodonaea angustifolia	Tahses	1	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.0	0.2	1	1.9	3
Acacia polyacantha	Gomoro	5	4.5	6.9	4.5	0.4	5.6	4	7.5	17.7
Diospyros mespiliformis	Aye	6	5.5	8.3	5.5	0.6	9.1	3	5.7	20.2
Ficus vasta	Daero	3	2.7	4.2	2.7	0.5	6.9	2	3.8	13.4
Ziziphus spina-christi	Gaba	5	4.5	6.9	4.5	0.1	1.1	5	9.4	15.1
Maytenusarbutifolia	Atat	1	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.1	1.2	1	1.9	4
Cassia singueanea	HamboHambo	7	6.4	9.7	6.4	0.0	0.7	4	7.5	14.6
Cordia africana	Awhi	2	1.8	2.8	1.8	0.2	2.5	2	3.8	8.1
Ziziphus jujube	Abetere	1	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.1	1.2	1	1.9	4
Lanneafruticosa	Dugudugugni	2	1.8	2.8	1.8	0.1	1.7	1	1.9	5.4
Total		110		152.8		6.6		53		

A high variation was also observed among species in their dominance. The three top dominant species in the exclosure were *Anogeisus leiocarpus* (36.2%), *Vanguria edulis* (25%) and *Dodonaea angustifolia* (14.9%) with 5.9 m^2/ha, 4 m^2/ha and 2.4 m^2/ha respectively (Table 2). *Anogeisus leiocarpus* was the most dominant species with 3.5 m^2/ha and it alone contributed to more than half (52.8%) of the total basal area in grazing land (Table 3).

Based on the result of the comparison of individual species in terms of their importance value index (IVI),*Dodonaea angustifolia* (76.9%),*Anogeisus leiocarpus* (66.8%), *Vanguria edulis* (49.7%) in the exclosure (Table 2) and*Anogeisus leiocarpus* (137.5%) in the grazing land (Table 3) were the most important woody species, indicating that these species are more ecologically significant and plays a significant role in the restoration of the degraded ecosystem, due to their higher relative abundance, frequency and basal area. The dominance of *Anogeisus leiocarpus* in the grazing land might be due to rapid propagation of the species by wildings and its ability to coppice (personal observation while conducting the study). On the other hand, 33 species in the exclosure and 4 species species in the grazing land had an IVI value of less than 5% (Tables 2 and 3), indicating that they must be prioritized for conservation.

4. CONCLUSION

The present study revealed that establishment of exclosures on degraded communal grazing lands is a viable option to enhance woody species richness, density, Shannon diversity index. The analyses of woody vegetation composition revealed that low woody species similarity was observed between the two land use types. Alternative livestock management systems such as tethering should also be introduced so as to minimize the negative effects of free grazing by livestock. As the present studyonly consider vegetation, further studies on the fauna and micro-organisms, erosion control, hydrology, downstream agricultural production and socio-economic factors analysis that determine the sustainability of the land use systems need to be undertaken. On top of that, the socio-economic implication for local communities has to be studied.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express sincere thanks to the village extension agents and administrators and inhabitants of the study area for providing information.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Mekuria W, Aynekulu E. Exclosure land management for restoration of the soils in degraded communal grazing lands in northern Ethiopia. Land Degrad. Develop; 2011.
   DOI:10.1002/ldr.1146
2. Descheemaeker K, Nyssen J, Rossi J, Poesen J, Haile M, Raes D, Deckers S. Sediment deposition and pedogenesis in exclosures in the Tigray Highlands, Ethiopia. Geoderma. 2006;132(3-4):291-314.
3. Tadesse D. Impacts and impediments of community participation on soil and water conservation to sustainable land resource management in LaelayMaychewWoreda, Tigray, Ethiopia. M.Sc. thesis. Addis Ababa University. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2014.
4. Birhane E, Teketay D, Barklund P. Actual and potential contribution of exclosures to enhance biodiversity of woody species in the dry lands of Eastern Tigray. Journal of Dry lands. 2006;1(2): 134-147.
5. Mamo KB. Enclosure as a viable option for rehabilitation of degraded lands and biodiversity conservation: The case of Kallu Woreda, southern Wello. MSc Thesis. Addis Ababa University; 2008.
6. Getseselassie HA. Effects of exclosure on environment and its socioeconomic contributions to local people: In the case of hallaexclosure, Tigray, Ethiopia. Msc

thesis. Norwegian University of Life Science. Norway; 2012.

7. Mekuria W, Langan S, Noble A, Johnston R. Soil restoration after seven years of exclosure management in northwestern Ethiopia. Land Degradation & Development. 2017;28(4):1287-1297. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2527.
8. Mebrat W, Molla E, Gashaw T. A comparative study of woody plant species diversity at adey amba enclosed forest and nearby open site in West Belessa District, North western Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Health care. 2014;4:74-80.
9. Manaye A, Negash M, Alebachew M. Effect of degraded land rehabilitation on carbon stocks and biodiversity in semi-arid region of Northern Ethiopia. Forest science and technology. 2019;15(2): 70-79.
10. Munie SA. Effect of plantation forests on soil chemical properties, Soil temperature and regeneration of woody plants: A Comparative analysis. PhD Dissertation. Mendel University in Brno, Czech Republic. 2013;1-111.
11. Marland G, Garten CT, Post WM, West TO. Studies on enhancing carbon sequestration in soils. Energy. 2004;29(9):1643-1650.
12. Darcha G, Abay K, Birhane N. Evaluation of Awir (Ipomoea carnea) for Gully rehabilitation through different propagation techniques in North Western Zone of Tigray. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International. 2018;1-8. DOI: 10.9734/JAERI/2018/39723.
13. Hurni H, Berhe WA, Chadhokar P, Daniel D, Gete Z, Grunder M, Kassaye G. Soiland Water Conservation in Ethiopia: Guidelines for Development Agents. Second revised edition. Bern, Switzerland: Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern, with Bern Open Publishing (BOP). 2016;134. Available:https://boris.unibe.ch/80013/1/Guidelines_Soil_and_Water_Conservation_in_Ethiopia_2016.pdf
14. Mekuria W, Veldkamp E, Haile M. Carbon stock changes with relation to land use conversion in the low lands of Tigray, Ethiopia. Conference on international research on food security, natural resource management and rural development. University of Hamburg, Germany; 2009.
15. Mekuria W, Yami M. Changes in woody species composition following establishing exclosures on grazing lands in the lowlands of Northern Ethiopia. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2013;7(1):30-40.
16. Worku AG. Population Status and Socio-economic Importance of Gum and Resin Bearing Species in Borana Low lands, southern Ethiopia. M.Sc thesis. Addis. Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2006.
17. Aerts E, Behailu M, Muys B. Species list Tigrinya–scientific. Technical note. Forest Rehabilitation Project, Mekelle University, Ethiopia and K.U. Leuven, Belgium; 2002.
18. Bekele-Tesemma A. Useful trees of Ethiopia: Identification, propagation and management in17agroecological zones. Nairobi: RELMA in ICRAF Project. 2007;552.
19. Mata ID., Moreno-Casasola P, Madero-Vega C, Castillo-Campos G, Warner BG. Floristic composition and soil characteristics of tropical fresh water forested wetlands of Veracruz on the coastal plain of the Gulf of Mexico. Forest Ecology and Management. 2011;262(8):1514-1531.
20. Tesfay Y, Eik LO, Moe SR. The effects of exclosures in restoring degraded semi-arid vegetation in communal grazing lands in northern Ethiopia. Journal of Arid Environments. 2009;73(4):542 -549.
21. Mekuria W, Veldkamp E, Haile M, Nyssen J, Muys B, Gebrehiwot K. Effectiveness of exclosures to restore degraded soils as a result of overgrazing in Tigray, Ethiopia. Journal of arid environments. 2007;69 (2):270-284.
22. Gebremedihin KM, Birhane E, Tadesse T, Gbrewahid H. Restoration of degraded drylands through exclosures enhancing woody species diversity and soil nutrients in the highlands of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. Nature Conservation Research. 2018;3(1):1-20. DOI: 10.24189/ncr.2018.001.
23. Shimelse S, Bekele T, Nemomissa S. Effect of exclosure age on carbon sequestration potential of restorations in Tigray Region, N. Ethiopia. American Journal of Biological and Environmental Statistics. 2017;3(4):65-80.
24. Asmare MT, Gure A. Effect of exclosure on woody species diversity and population structure in comparison with adjacent open grazing land: the case of JabiTehnan district north western Ethiopia. Ecosystem

Health and Sustainability. 2019;5(1):98-109.
25. Fikadu A, Argaw M. Impact of exclosures on woody species diversity in degraded lands: the case of Lemo in Southwestern Ethiopia. Heliyon. 2021;7(4).
26. Kelbessa E, Soromessa T. Interfaces of regeneration, structure, diversity and uses of some plant species in Bonga Forest: A reservoir for wild coffee gene pool. SINET: Ethiopian Journal of Science. 2008;31(2):121-134.
27. Tekalign M. The role of area exclosures for biodiversity conservation and its contribution to local livelihoods: The case of Biyo-Kelala Area exclosures in Ada`aworeda. M.Sc Thesis. Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa. Ethiopia; 2010.
28. Hiernaux P. Effects of grazing on plant species composition and spatial distribution in range lands of the Sahel. Plant Ecology. 1998;138(2):191-202.
29. Mengistu T, Teketay D, Hulten H, Yemshaw Y. The role of enclosures in the recovery of woody vegetation in degraded dry land hillsides of central and northern Ethiopia. Journal of Arid Environments. 2005;60(2), 259-281.
30. Bahiru KM. Enclosure as a viable option for rehabilitation of degraded lands and biodiversity conservation: the case of kalluworeda, Southern wello. Addis ababa university school of graduate studies, Ethiopia. 2008;1-99.
31. Asmamaw MM. The role of area closures for soil and Woody Vegetation Rehabilitation in Kewot District, North Shewa. MSc Thesis. Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia; 2011.
32. Mekuria W, Veldkamp E, Corre MD, Haile M. Restoration of ecosystem carbon stocks following exclosure establishment in communal grazing lands in Tigray, Ethiopia. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 2011;75(1):246-256.
33. Mulugeta G. Vegetation dynamics of area enclosure practices: A case of gonder Zuria District, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. Journal of Natural Sciences Research. 2014;4(7):75-82
34. Sørensen T. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish commons. Biol.Skr. 1948;5:1-34.
35. Abay K, Tewolde-Berhan S, Teka K. The effect of exclosures on restoration of soil properties in Ethiopian lowland conditions. SN Applied Sciences. 2020;2:1-2.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120997