South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology



2(4): 1-8, 2018; Article no.SAJRM.46955

Antibiotic Susceptibility of Bacteria Isolated from Abattoir Effluent-Impacted Tagangu River, Aliero, Kebbi State, North-Western Nigeria

B. G. Jega^{1*}, O. O. Adebisi² and S. S. Manga¹

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Kebbi State University of Science and Technology, Aliero, P.M.B. 1144, Kebbi State, Nigeria. ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Ilorin, P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author BGJ designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author OOA managed the analyses of the study. Author SSM performed the statistical analysis. Authors BGJ and OOA managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/SAJRM/2018/v2i430072 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Eliton da Silva Vasconcelos, Department of Physiological Sciences, Federal University of Sao Carlos – UFSCar, Rod. Washington Luiz, Sao Carlos, Brazil. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Paul West Okojie, Public and Community Health, Liberty University, Lynchburg, Virginia, USA. (2) Teo Kah Cheng, Universiti of Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia. (3) Oshim, Ifeanyi Onyema, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/46955</u>

Original Research Article

Received 25 October 2018 Accepted 04 February 2019 Published 12 February 2019

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the impact of abattoir effluent on microbiological quality of the receiving Tagangu River and the susceptibility of the isolates to commonly-used antibiotics. The most probable number (MPN) as well as the Kirby-Bauer method of antibiotic susceptibility test were used and demonstrated the total heterotrophic bacteria as well as *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 numbers in a total of 30 water samples collected over a period of three months at three strategic points of the river. In accordance with CLSI guidelines, four out of eight bacteria (*Enterobacter* sp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris* and *Citrobacter* sp.) isolated, demonstrated multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) against at least three out of septrin, chloramphenicol, amoxicillin, augmentin, gentamicin, tarivid and streptomycin. All the isolates (*Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter* sp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus* sp., *Serratia marcescens* and *Aerobacter aerogenes*) showed either high or intermediate susceptibility

to sparfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and pefloxacin. The findings indicated that the river has been heavily polluted with the effluent discharges and did not meet any of the WHO guidelines for natural water sources fit for irrigation or other domestic purposes. As such, indiscriminate discharge of abattoir effluent could impact on the microbiological quality and promote increased incidence of multiple antibiotic resistant bacteria in a receiving river.

Keywords: Abattoir; effluent; Tagangu River; microbiological quality; antibiotic susceptibility test.

1. INTRODUCTION

Abattoir waste disposal in many developing countries including Nigeria has been a major challenge for years [1]. In most cases, waste materials are disposed of without regard to sound environmental management practices, thus making them harmful to humans and other terrestrial and aquatic life [2]. Studies from Nigeria and Ghana show that many abattoirs in the respective countries either deposit waste materials in the immediate environs or dispose them off directly into water bodies, some of which serve as sources of water for the abattoirs [3].

The major known sources of water pollution as well as contamination are municipal, storm runoff, industrial and agricultural. Sewage and industrial effluent discharges into rivers turn rivers to become hazardous for use, as the sewage from agricultural activities contained microbes, bones, blood, urine and occasionally aborted fetuses, whereas industrial effluents mostly contained microbes, heavy metals, acids, hydrocarbons and atmospheric depositions [4].

In Nigeria, Meat processing activities are generally carried out in unsuitable buildings and by untrained personnel or butchers who are most of the time unaware of sanitary principles [5]. The major activities involved in the operations of an abattoir are: receiving and holding of livestock; slaughter and carcass dressing of animals; chilling of carcass products; carcass boning and packaging; freezing of finished carcass and cartooned product; rendering processes; drying of skins; treatment of wastes and transport of processed materials [5].

Yahaya *et al.*, [6], reported that animals which graze on contaminated plants and drink from polluted waters, as well as marine lives that breed in heavy metal polluted waters also accumulate such metals in their tissues and milk if lactating. When such animals are killed, these metals are released in the soil as natural sink but subsequently leached out into nearby streams or water bodies. The continuous drive to increase meat production for the protein needs of the ever increasing world population has some problems attached [7].

Discharge of abattoir wastewater to surface waters affects the water quality. One of the environmental effects of discharging slaughterhouse wastewater causes deoxygenation of rivers and the contamination of groundwater [8, 9, 10]. Wrongful discharge of blood and animal faeces into streams may cause oxygen-depletion as well as nutrient over enrichment of the receiving system which could cause increased rate of toxin accumulation [11]. Humans may also be affected through outbreak of water borne diseases and other respiratory and chest diseases [12].

Antibiotic susceptibility testing can be used for drug discovery, epidemiology and prediction of therapeutic outcome. After the revolution in the "golden era", when almost all groups of important antibiotics (Tetracycline, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides and Macrolides) were discovered and the main problems of chemotherapy were solved in the 1960s, the history repeats itself nowadays and these exciting compounds are in danger of losing their efficacy because of the increase in microbial resistance [13]. Currently, its impact is considerable with treatment failures associated with multidrug-resistant bacteria and it has become a global concern to public health [14].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study area was a section along the Tagangu seasonal River at old Kasuwa (Market) area located in Sarkin Fada 1 Ward Aliero, Kebbi State, Nigeria. Kebbi State was created on 27th August in 1991 from the old Sokoto State. It is located in the North Western part of Nigeria between the latitude 11.6781^oN and longitude 4.0695^o E. According to the 2011 National Population Census (NPC) estimate, the total population of Kebbi State is 3,802,500. Its capital city is Birnin Kebbi.



Fig. 1. Map of Nigeria (A) Kebbi State map (B) Aliero map (C)

2.2 Samples Collection and Preparation

A total of thirty (30) water samples; ten (10) each from the three sections named as downstream, upstream and irrigation site denoted as A, B and C respectively, were collected over a period of three months (May, June and July), along the Tagangu seasonal River receiving the abattoir effluent. The water samples were collected as described by [15], and transported to the laboratory in an ice jacket box and subsequently processed within 4 hours of sampling.

2.3 Media Preparation

Different media were prepared according to manufacturer's instructions. The media prepared during the course of the research are: Nutrient agar; general purpose medium supporting the growth of a wide range of non-fastidious organisms [16], Lactose Broth; liquid medium used for detection of the presence of coliform bacteria by revealing bubbles [17], Eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar; selective and differential medium used for the isolation and identification of gram-negative enteric bacteria [18], Sorbitol MacConkey agar with cefixime tellurite (CT-SMAC); originally developed for isolating entero-pathogenic serotypes O11 and O55, but now recommended for the isolation and differentiation of entero-hemorrhagic E. coli O157:H7 [19] and Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA); used for antimicrobial susceptibility tests by the disk diffusion method [20]. All the media used under this study were prepared as described by [15, 21, 22].

2.4 Bacteriological Analyses

2.4.1 Isolation and identification of bacteria

As described by [15, 21, 22], the organisms were isolated and identified via colonial morphology

and cultural characteristics, as well as biochemical tests respectively.

2.5 Antibiotic Susceptibility Test Profile of the Isolates

The antibiotic susceptibility testing (Agar disk diffusion method) of the isolated organisms was carried out in accordance with the standard approved by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [23].

2.6 Statistical Analyses of the Results

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple Range Test (MRT) systems of analysis was carried out using SPSS version 20 computer application, the data were analyzed, interpreted and presented in the results and discussion section.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Total Heterotrophic Bacteria Plate Count

Table 1 represents the number of the heterotrophic bacterial count (cfu/ml). Sample A had the highest count of $1.64\pm1.94 \times 10^7$ cfu/ml, followed by sample C with the count of $1.62\pm1.69 \times 10^7$ (cfu/ml), while the least count of $1.57\pm1.64 \times 10^7$ (cfu/ml) was observed in sample B.

The total heterotrophic bacterial plate count recorded was highest in samples A ($1.64\pm1.94 \times 10^7$ cfu/ml) followed by samples C ($1.62\pm1.69 \times 10^7$ cfu/ml), while the lowest number of $1.57\pm1.64 \times 10^7$ cfu/ml was observed in samples B. This is so because samples A were obtained from upstream, where the incoming substances including microbes do reside before getting to other portions of the river, it is also a point at

which abattoir effluent directly find their way into the river body without treatment, and that must contained high level of contamination.

Samples B were also collected from downstream where the effluent has to travel far away to get to the site, while samples C were also obtained from a place called irrigation space; where the farmers use the water for growing crops, and therefore was expected to have a fair number of microbial count, but much physicochemical contaminations. This was in agreement with what UNESCO [24] reported that agricultural run-off is another major water pollutant as it contained nitrogen and phosphorus compound from fertilizers, pesticides, salts, poultry wastes and washes down from abattoirs. Contaminants are usually of varied composition ranging from simple organic substances to complex organic compounds with varying degrees of toxicity.

3.2 The Frequency and Percentage Occurrence of Identified Organisms

Fig. 2 represents the frequency and percentage occurrence of the identified bacteria from the water samples. *Escherichia coli* have the highest percentage occurrence of 56.7% while *Aerobacter aerogenes* has the least of 20%.

The frequency and percentage of isolates reported in this study indicates that *Escherichia coli* have the highest occurrence of 17 and a percentage of 56.7% while *Aerobacter aerogens*

have the lowest occurrence of 6 and the percentage of 20%. This was contraindicated with the statement of International Reference Center for Community water supply and sanitation, which stipulated that, the level of coliforms which should be present in any giving water body should be less than 10/100 ml of a sample, and the number of *E. coli* should be less than 2.5/100 ml of a sample.

The bacteria isolated from the River Tagangu were enterobacteriaceae. The presence of enteric bacteria like *Serratia marcescens, Salmonella species, Shigella species, Klebsiella species* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 can be attributed to high level of faecal, municipal and abattoir waste contaminations which may constitute health hazard to the people drinking or using the water for domestic activities or both. The high incidence of Enterobacteriaceae recorded in this study could be due to the virulent factors present within these organisms which gives them the ability to be resistant to antibiotics.

The result of this study also agreed perfectly with the similar result carried out by Olayemi and Oyadege, [25], were as high as 45.3% incidence of Enterobacteriaceae among other organisms were recorded in Gombe state, Nigeria. Similarly *Escherichia coli* was also incriminated as the highest organism (36.6%) that was isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of fresh water fish as reported by Trust [26].



 Table 1. Total Heterotrophic Bacterial (THB) plate count

Fig. 2. Frequency and percentage (%) occurrence of identified bacteria

Antibiotics	Potency	Escherichia	Klebsiella	Enterobacter	Pseudomonas	Proteus	Citrobacter	Serratia	Aerobacter
		coli	pneumonia	species	aeruginosa	vulgaris	species	marcescens	aerogenes
Zone of inhibitions (mm) measured									
SXT	30µg	18.3±0.06	17.3±0.05	00.0±0.00	15.3±0.03	17±0.05	19.6±0.07	16.6±0.04	16±0.04
СН	30µg	17.3±0.05	17.3±0.05	00.0±0.00	15±0.03	18±0.06	00.0±0.00	16.3±0.04	14.6±0.02
SP	10µg	18.6±0.06	19±0.07	19.3±0.07	17.3±0.05	18±0.06	17.3±0.05	16.6±0.04	16±0.04
СРХ	10µg	17.3±0.05	18±0.06	19±0.07	18.3±0.06	18.6±0.06	17.3±0.05	17±0.05	16.3±0.04
AM	30µg	18.6±0.06	18±0.06	16.6±0.04	17±0.05	19.6±0.07	15.6±0.03	12±0.01	19±0.07
AU	30µg	00.0±0.00	16.3±0.04	21±0.09	00.0±0.00	14.3±0.02	00.0±0.00	13.5±0.1	14±0.02
CN	10µg	00.0±0.00	15±0.03	12.3±0.01	00.0±0.00	15±0.03	00.0±0.00	14±0.02	15±0.03
PEF	30µg	17.3±0.05	17.3±0.05	15.6±0.03	16.3±0.04	17.3±0.05	17.6±0.05	17±0.05	15.6±0.03
OFX	10µg	18.6±0.06	19.3±0.07	00.0±0.00	13.3±0.01	19±0.07	20.6±0.08	16.3±0.04	17.3±0.05
S	30µg	16.6±0.04	00.0±0.00	13.3±0.01	00.0±0.00	15.3±0.03	16.3±0.04	14±0.2	14±0.02

Table 2. Antibiotic susceptibility test profile of the identified organisms from the water samples

Keys: SXT= Septrin, CH= Chloramphenicol, SP= Sparfloxacin, CPX= Ciproflaxacin, AM= Amoxicillin, AU= Augmentin, CN= Gentamycin, PEF= Pefloxacin, OFX= Tarivid, S= Streptomycin.

3.3 Antibiotic Susceptibility Test Profile

Table 2 represents antibiotic susceptibility profile test of each of the identified organisms in each of the antibiotic discs tested. *Escherichia coli* indicated the highest zone of inhibition of 18.6 ± 0.06 mm with Sparfloxacin, Amoxicillin and Tarivid respectively, and the least of 16.6 ± 0.04 mm with Septrin. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* demonstrated the highest zone of inhibition of 19.3 ± 0.07 mm with Tarivid, and the least of 15 ± 0.03 mm with Gentamycin.

Enterobacter species found to have the highest zone of inhibition of 21 ± 0.09 mm with Augmentin, and the least of 12.3 ± 0.01 mm with Gentamycin. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* indicated the highest zone of inhibition of 18.3 ± 0.06 mm with Ciprofloxacin, and the least of 13.3 ± 0.01 mm with Tarivid. *Proteus vulgaris* demonstrated the highest zone of inhibition of 19.6 ± 0.07 mm with Amoxicillin, and the least of 14.3 ± 0.02 mm with Augmentin. *Citrobacter species* was investigated to have the highest zone of inhibition of 20.6 ± 0.08 mm with Tarivid, and the least of 15.6 ± 0.03 mm with Amoxicillin.

Serratia marcescens revealed the highest zone of inhibition of 17 ± 0.05 mm with Ciprofloxacin and Pefloxacin respectively, and the least of 12 ± 0.01 mm with Amoxicillin. Aerobacter aerogenes indicated the highest zone of inhibition of 19 ± 0.07 mm with Amoxicillin, and the least of 14 ± 0.02 mm with Augmentin and Septrin respectively.

The antibiotic susceptibility profile of all the identified bacteria tested, *Enterobacter species* revealed the highest zone of inhibition of 21±0.09 mm with Augmentin, followed by *Citrobacter species* with the zone of inhibition of 20.6±0.08 mm, while the least zone of inhibition of 12±0.01 mm was observed with *Serratia marcescens*. This finding, corroborates with what [27, 28] reported, that *Serratia marcescens, Citrobacter and Enterobacter species* were demonstrated to have the highest resistance with most antibiotics used in non-domestic environment in Portugal.

4. CONCLUSION

The high level of enteric pathogens demonstrated in Tagangu seasonal River located at Shiyar Fada 1, Aliero Local Government, Kebbi State Nigeria, which always receives a

tremendous amount of Aliero abattoir effluent, and their multiple resistance to commonly used antibiotics, further confirmed the dangers associated with discharging municipal waste, organic waste and untreated wastewater to the river, which have a fatal impact on the river and its users. Therefore, it has been concluded that the water from the river is microbiologically unhygienic and unsafe for domestic (washing of clothes, animal products and feeding of animal) and agricultural purposes (growing of crops) without bacteriological treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the efforts of all the scholars that contributed to the success of this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Adelegan JA. Environmental policy and slaughterhouse waste in Nigeria. 228th Water, Engineering and Development Conference Report: Calcutta, India; 2002.
- Osibanjo O, Adie GU. Impact of effluent from Bodija abattoir on the physicochemical parameters of Oshunkaye stream in Ibadan City, Nigeria: African Journal of Biotechnology. 2007;6(15): 1806-1811.
- 3. Weobong CAA, Adinyira EY. Operational impacts of the Tamale abattoir on the environment. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology. 2011;3(9):386-393.
- Alam MJ, Islam MR, Muyen Z, Mamun M, Islam S. Water quality parameters along rivers. International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology: 2007;4(1):159-167.
- Olanike KA. Unhygienic operation of city abattoir in South Western Nigeria. Environmental Implication AJEAM/RAGEE. 2002;4(1):23-28.
- Yahaya MI, Mohammed S, Abdullahi BK. Seasonal variations of heavy metals concentration in abattoir dumping site soil in Nigeria: Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management: 2009;13(4): 9-13.

Jega et al.; SAJRM, 2(4): 1-8, 2018; Article no.SAJRM.46955

- Hinton MH, Mead GC, Rowlings C. Microbiology control in the meat industry. Flair Flow Europe Technical Manual. 2002; 2(339):4-12.
- Quinn JM, McFarlane PN. Effects of slaughterhouse and dairy factory wastewaters on epilithon: A comparison in laboratory streams. Water Research. 1989; 23(10):1267-1273.
- Ejaz N. Investigation of the characteristics of effluent mixing in streams. A Doctoral dissertation, University of Engineering and Technology Taxila-Pakistan; 2009.
- Kanu I, Achi OK. Industrial effluents and their impact on water quality of receiving rivers in Nigeria. Journal of Applied Technology in Environmental Sanitation. 2011;1(1):75-86.
- 11. Nwachukwu MI, Akinde SB, Udujih OS, Nwachukwu IO. Effect of abattoir wastes on the population of proteolytic and lipolytic bacteria in a recipient water body (Otamiri River): Global Research Journal of Science. 2011;(1):40-42.
- Mohammed S, Musa JJ. Impact of abattoir effluent on river Landzu, Bida, Nigeria. Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences (JCBPS). 2011;2(1): 132-139.
- 13. Mayers DL, Sobel JD, Ouellette M, Kaye KS, Marchaim D. Antimicrobial drug resistance: Clinical and epidemiological aspects, Springer. 2017;2.
- Guschin A, Ryzhikh P, Rumyantseva T, Gomberg M, Unemo M. Treatment efficacy, treatment failures and selection of macrolide resistance in patients with high load of *Mycoplasma genitalium* during treatment of male urethritis with josamycin. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2015;15(1):40-53.
- Cheesbrough M. Water and sanitation decade. Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries. Butter Worth and co-Publisher. 1989;206-219.
- MacFaddin JF. Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria, 3rd Edition: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore Maryland, USA; 2000.
- 17. Downes FP, Ito K. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods: American Public Health Association, 4th edition. 2001;3(2): 34-42.

- Bachoon DS, Wendy AD. Microbiology Laboratory Manual. Ed. Michael Stranz, Mason, OH: Cengage Learning, Exercise 8, "Selective and Differential Media for Isolation of Gram-negative Bacteria; 2008.
- DHHS (Department of Health and Human Services). Biosafety in microbiological and biomedical laboratories, Health and Human Services Publication (CDC), 5th ed. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C; 2007.
- 20. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Eighteenth Informational Supplement: (M100-S18 (MS) Wayne, PA; 2008.
- Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK. Microbial ecology. A text book of microbiology; Multicolor Illustrative Edition: S. Chand And Company Ltd. 2006;785-797.
- 22. Manga BS, Oyeleke SB. Biochemical tests. Essentials of laboratory practicals in microbiology: Tobest Publisher, Minna, Niger State. 2008;20-67.
- 23. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests, Approved Standard, 7th ed., CLSI document M02-A11: CLSI, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087, USA; 2012.
- 24. UNESCO. Water a Shared Responsibility. The United Nations World Water Development Report: Retrieved from <http:// www.unesco.org/water/wwap>; 2006.
- 25. Olayemi AB, Oyagede JSO. Incidence of antibiotic resistance among *Escherichia coli* isolated from clinical and river water Nigeria. Med. Journal: 1997;17(4):207-209.
- 26. Trust TJ, Sparrow RAH. The bacterial flora in the alimentary tract of freshwater salmonid fishes: Canadian Journal of Microbiology: 1974;20(9):1219-1228.
- Fluckey WM, Loneragan GH, Warner R, Brashears MM. Antimicrobial drug resistance of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* isolates from cattle feces, hides, and carcasses. Journal of Food Protection. 2007;70(3):551-556.

 Miranda JM, Guarddon M, Vázquez BI, Fente CA, Barros-Velazquez J, Cepeda A. Franco CM. Antimicrobial resistance in enterobacteriaceae strains isolated from organic chicken, conventional chicken and conventional turkey meat: A comparative survey. Food Control. 2008;19(4):412-416.

© 2019 Jega et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/46955