academicJournals

Vol. 9(2), pp. 12-14, July, 2016 DOI: 10.5897/AJMCSR2016.0648 Article Number: 42FFD3C59708 ISSN 2006-9731 Copyright ©2016 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJMCSR

African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Research

Short Communication

Properties of the Euler phi-function on pairs of positive integers (6x - 1, 6x + 1)

Mbakiso Fix Mothebe* and Sebaameng Samuel

Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, Pvt Bag 00704, Gaborone, Botswana.

Received 25 April 2016; Accepted 20 July 2016

Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Define $\phi_2(n)$ to be the number of positive integers x, $1 \le x \le n$, for which both 6x-1 and 6x+1 are relatively prime to 6n. The primary goal of this study is to show that ϕ_2 is a multiplicative function, that is, if gcd(m, n) = 1, then $\phi_2(mn) = \phi_2(m)\phi_2(n)$.

Key words: Euler phi-function, multiplicative function.

THE EULER Φ2 FUNCTION

Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer and let $S = \{1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29\}$, the set of integers which are both less than and relatively prime to 30. In Mothebe and Modise (2016) we define $\phi_3(n)$ to be the number of integers x, $0 \le x \le n - 1$, for which gcd(30n, 30x + i) = 1 for all $i \in S$. We proved that this function is multiplicative and thereby obtained a formula for its evaluation.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\phi_2(n)$ denote the number of positive integers $x, 1 \le x \le n$, for which both 6x - 1 and 6x + 1 are relatively prime to 6n. In this note we draw analogy with our study of ϕ_3 and show that ϕ_2 is multiplicative.

For example if $n = 5 \in S$, then $\phi_2(n) = 3$ since the pairs (11, 13), (17, 19) and (29, 31) are the only ones with components that are relatively prime to 30.

We now proceed to show that we can evaluate $\phi_2(n)$ from the prime factorization of *n*. Our arguments are based on those used by Burton (2002) to show that the Euler phi-function is multiplicative. We first note:

Theorem 1.1: Let k and s be nonnegative numbers and let $p \ge 5$ be a prime number. Then the following hold:

(i)
$$\phi_2(2^k) = 2^k$$
.
(ii) $\phi_2(3^s) = 3^s$.
(iii) $\phi_2(p^k) = p^k - 2p^{k-1}$

Proof. (i) and (ii): For all nonnegative integers k and s and x:

 $gcd(6x - 1, 6 \cdot 2^{k}) = gcd(6x + 1, 6 \cdot 2^{k}) = 1$

and

 $gcd(6x - 1, 6 \cdot 3^{s}) = gcd(6x + 1, 6 \cdot 3^{s}) = 1.$

Proof. (iii): Clearly $gcd(6x - 1, 6p^k) = 1$ if and only if p does not divide 6x - 1 and $gcd(6x + 1, 6p^k) = 1$ if and only if p does not divide 6x + 1. There is one integer between 1 and p that satisfies the congruence relation $6x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence there are p^{k-1} integers between 1 and p^k that satisfy $6x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Similarly there are p^{k-1} integers of the form 6x + 1 between 1 and p^k divisible by p. Thus the set $\{(6x - 1, 6x + 1) | 1 \le x \le p^k\}$

*Corresponding author. E-mail: mothebemf@mopipi.ub.bw.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> p^k } contains exactly $p^k - 2p^{k-1}$ pairs corresponding to integers x for which both gcd($6x - 1, 6p^k$) = 1 and gcd($6x + 1, 6p^k$) = 1. Thus $\phi_2(p^k) = p^k - 2p^{k-1}$.

For example $\phi_2(5^2) = 5^2 \cdot 2.5 = 15$ and $\phi_2(5) = 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 5^0 = 3$ as observed earlier.

We recall that:

Definition 1.2: A number theoretic function *f* is said to be multiplicative if f(mn) = f(m)f(n) whenever gcd(m, n) = 1.

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 it is clear that for all integers k and s: $\phi_2(2^k 3^s) = \phi_2(2^k)\phi_2(3^s)$.

We now show that the function ϕ_2 is multiplicative. This will enable us to obtain a formula for $\phi_2(n)$ based on a factorization of *n* as a product of primes. We require the following results:

Lemma 1.3: Given integers m, n, gcd(6x - 1, 6mn) = 1 if and only if gcd(6x - 1, 6m) = 1 and gcd(6x - 1, 6n) = 1.

Similarly given integers m, n, gcd(6x + 1, 6 mn) = 1 if and only if gcd(6x + 1, 6m) = 1 and gcd(6x + 1, 6n) = 1. This is an immediate consequence of the following standard result.

Lemma 1.4: Given integers m, n, k, gcd(k, mn) = 1 if and only if gcd(k, m) = 1 and gcd(k, n) = 1.

We note also the following standard result.

Lemma 1.5: If a = bq + r, then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).

Theorem 1.6: The function ϕ_2 is multiplicative, that is, if gcd(m, n) = 1, then $\phi_2(mn) = \phi_2(m)\phi_2(n)$.

Proof: The result holds if either *m* or *n* equals 1. We shall therefore assume neither *m* nor *n* equals 1. Arrange the integer pairs $(6x - 1, 6x + 1), 1 \le x \le mn$, in an *n* × *m* array as follows:

(5,7)	 (6 <i>m -</i> 1, 6 <i>m</i> + 1)	
(6(m + 1) - 1, 6(m + 1) + 1)	 (6(2 <i>m</i>) -1, 6(2 <i>m</i>) + 1)	
(6((n-1)m+1) - 1, 6((n-1)m+1) + 1)	 (6(<i>mn</i>) -1, 6(<i>mn</i>) + 1)	

we know that $\phi_2(mn)$ is equal to the number of pairs (6x - 1, 6x + 1) in this matrix for which both 6x - 1 and 6x + 1 are relatively prime to '6mn'. By virtue of Lemma 1.3 this is the same as the number of pairs (6x - 1, 6x + 1) in the same matrix for which both 6x-1 and 6x+1 are relatively prime to each of 6m and 6n.

We first note, by virtue of Lemma 1.5, that gcd(6(qmx) - 1, 6m) = gcd(6x - 1, 6m) and likewise

gcd(6(qm+x)+1, 6m) = gcd(6x+1, 6m). Therefore the pairs (6(qm + x) - 1, 6(qm + x) + 1) in the x^{th} column are both relatively prime to 6m if and only if both 6x - 1 and 6x + 1 are relatively prime to 6m.

Therefore only $\phi_2(m)$ columns contain pairs (6x - 1, 6x + 1) both relatively prime to 6m and every other pair in the column will constitute of integers both relatively prime to 6m. The problem now is to show that in each of these $\phi_2(m)$ columns there are exactly $\phi_2(n)$ integer pairs (6x - 1, 6x + 1) that are both relatively prime to 6n, for then altogether there would be $\phi_2(m)\phi_2(n)$ pairs in the table that are relatively prime to both 6m and 6n.

The entries that are in the x^{th} column (where it is assumed gcd(6x-1, 6m) = gcd(6x+1, 6m) = 1) are: (6x- 1, 6x + 1), (6(m + x) - 1, 6(m + x) + 1), . . . , (6((n-1)m+x)-1, 6((n-1)m+x)+1).

There are *n* pairs in this sequence and for no two pairs: (6(qm + x) - 1, 6(qm + x) + 1), (6(jm + x) - 1, 6(jm + x) + 1) in the sequence do we have:

 $6(qm + x) - 1 \equiv 6(jm + x) - 1 \pmod{n}$

and

 $6(qm + x) + 1 \equiv 6(jm + x) + 1 \pmod{n}$

since otherwise we would arrive at a contradiction $q \equiv j \pmod{n}$. Thus the terms of the sequence, $x, m + x, 2m + x, \ldots, (n - 1)m + x$ are congruent modulo n to 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1 in some order.

Now suppose *t* is congruent modulo *n* to qm + x. Then the integers, 6(qm + x) - 1 and 6(qm + x) + 1 are both relatively prime to 6n if and only if both 6t - 1 and 6t + 1 are relatively prime to 6n. The implication is that the x^{th} column contains as many pairs of integers that are relatively prime to 6nas does the set {(5, 7), (11, 13), . . . , (6n - 1, 6n + 1)}, namely $\phi_2(n)$ pairs. Thus the number of pairs of integers (6x - 1, 6x + 1) in the matrix that are relatively prime to 6m and 6n is $\phi_2(m)\phi_2(n)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following result immediately follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.6:

Corollary 1.7: If the integer n > 1 has the prime factorization n = $2^{k_1}3^{k_2}p^{k_3}...p^{k_r}$, then $\phi_2(n) = 2^{k_1}3^{k_2}(p^{k_3}-2p^{k_3-1}_3)...(p^{k_r}-2p^{k_r-1}_r)$.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Burton DM (2002). Elementary Number Theory. McGraw-Hill, International Editions.

Mothebe MF, Modise BT (2016). An extension of the Euler Phi-function to Sets of Integers Relatively Prime to 30. J. Math. Res. 8(2):45-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v8n2p45.