

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 10, Page 941-947, 2024; Article no.JEAI.125915 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Analyzing the Role of Different Molybdenum doses and *Rhizobium* Inoculation in Optimizing Nutrient Absorption and Yield in Vegetable Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] cv. AVCP 1

Monu Kumari ^{a*}, Ram Lakhan Meena ^b, Ayushi Jain ^b, Harshit Kumar ^b, Sunil ^c, Dushyant Dipkakumar Champaneri ^a and Pankaj Kumar Meena ^b

 ^a Department of Vegetable Science, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat-396-450, India.
^b Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313001, India.

^c Department of Vegetable Science, CCS Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar- 125004, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author MK dissertation work, authors contributions, compilation of manuscript. Author DDC estimated yield parameters and compilation of manuscript. Authors AJ, RLM compilation of manuscript. Authors Sunil, HK compilation and communication of manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i103023

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125915

> Received: 20/08/2024 Accepted: 24/10/2024 Published: 04/11/2024

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author: E-mail: monudogiwal10@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kumari, Monu, Ram Lakhan Meena, Ayushi Jain, Harshit Kumar, Sunil, Dushyant Dipkakumar Champaneri, and Pankaj Kumar Meena. 2024. "Analyzing the Role of Different Molybdenum Doses and Rhizobium Inoculation in Optimizing Nutrient Absorption and Yield in Vegetable Cowpea [Vigna Unguiculata (L.) Walp.] Cv. AVCP 1". Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 46 (10):941-47. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i103023.

ABSTRACT

Leaumes. along with cereals, can be considered a main plant source of energy and quality proteins. A versatile legume crop, cowpeas offer economic, agronomic, environmental, and nutritional advantages. Cowpeas have a high total protein level and a relatively low fat content, making them nutritionally similar to other pulses. The study aimed to evaluate the effects of different rates of ammonium molybdate and Rhizobium inoculation on the yield and nutrient uptake of vegetable cowpea. There were 12 treatment combinations comprising six concentrations of molybdenum *i.e.* M₀:control, M₁: soil application of molybdenum @ 200 g ha⁻¹, M₂: soil application of molybdenum @ 300 g ha⁻¹, M₃: seed treatment of molybdenum 25 g ha⁻¹ seeds, M₄: seed treatment of molybdenum 50 g ha⁻¹ seeds and M₅: seed treatment of molybdenum 6 ml kg⁻¹ seeds and two levels of Rhizobium i.e. R₀: without Rhizobium seed treatment, R₁: with Rhizobium (10 ml kg⁻¹ seed) seed treatment in a Randomized Block Design (Factorial) with three replications. Results indicated that the application of ammonium molybdate and Rhizobium inoculation significantly improved total cowpea yield. The highest yield was observed with the treatment combination of 300 g ha⁻¹ ammonium molybdate (M₂) and *Rhizobium* inoculation (R₁). Nutrient analysis revealed that phosphorus, potassium and iron content in cowpea pods significantly increased with ammonium molybdate and Rhizobium treatments. The highest phosphorus and potassium contents were recorded with M₂ treatment, while the maximum iron content was found in M_3 treatment. Rhizobium treated seeds (R_1) also enhanced phosphorus, potassium and iron content in the pods. In terms of nutrient uptake, the highest nitrogen and phosphorus uptake were observed with the combined M_2R_1 treatment. Similarly, the highest potassium uptake in pods and total potassium uptake were recorded with M₂R₁ treatment, respectively. The interaction between ammonium molybdate and Rhizobium inoculation showed significant effects on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake, highlighting the importance of these treatments in enhancing cowpea nutrient absorption and yield. The use of biofertilizers can be highly successful in restoring agricultural soil, and this study highlights the possibility of combining Rhizobium inoculation with ammonium molybdate treatment to maximize nutrient uptake and enhance cowpea yield in sustainable agriculture production.

Keywords: Legumes; vegetable cowpea; cereals; molybdenum.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vegetables make up a major portion of the human diet in many parts of the world and play a significant role in human nutrition, especially as sources of phyto nutraceuticals: vitamins (C, A, B₁, B₆, B₉, E), minerals, dietary fiber and phytochemicals. Legumes form an integral group among vegetable crops. They have a low glycemic index (GI) in addition to being rich in nutrients. Legumes possess anti-cancer, antihyperlipidemic, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, and anti-hypertensive qualities, and regular consumption helps control type 2 diabetes, cholesterol, and obesity [1]. By providing a substantial amount of N through N2 fixation, it increases soil fertility [2]. The cowpea is an essential crop among these legume vegetables, cultivated for its seeds and immature pods.

Cowpea (*Vignaunguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is one of the most important legume vegetable grown in India. The choice of cowpea as a vegetable is due to its palatability, nutritional factors and absence of metabolites or other toxins. The use of cowpea as a vegetable acts as a cheap source of protein (22-24%) in vegetarian dominated diets. Being rich in protein and containing many other nutrients, it is also known as vegetable meat. In India, cowpea is cultivated as one of the leading legume vegetable crop, covering an area of 23,012 ha, with an annual production of 1,33,587 tonnes and having productivity of 5800 kg ha⁻¹.

According to Yeswanth and Umesha (2023), molybdenum is a necessary element since it is a component of the nitrogenase enzyme, which is used by Rhizobium bacteria to fix nitrogen. In legume crops, molybdenum has a favorable impact on nodule formation, yield, and quality. Nitrate reduction, nodulation, nitrogen fixation, and overall metabolism are among the roles that molybdenum plays in leguminous plants [3]. Molybdenum was required for normal plant growth, reduction supply with molybdenum to the growth medium decreased activities of nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase involved at steps of nitrate assimilation. The initial nitrogenase enzyme, used in nitrogen fixation,

requires molybdenum for function in nitrogenfixing bacteria. Nitrate reductase is a vital enzyme for nitrogen assimilation. A cofactor in this process is molybdenum [4]. Generally, Indian soils under natural condition are lacking in effective and specific strain of Rhizobium, which is responsible for symbiotic nitrogen fixation, growth stimulation of plant and enhances yield of pulses. Rhizobium inoculation also serves as a cheaper and usually more effective agronomic practice for ensuring adequate nitrogen nutrition of legumes than the application of nitrogen fertilizer. The purpose of the study was to observe the effect of molybdenum and bio fertilizers on growth parameters and yield attributes of cowpea. This can increase the production and productivity of the crop.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment conducted at vegetable research farm, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari during summer season on cv. AVCP 1. There were 12 treatment combinations including six concentrations of molybdenum viz., soil application of M_1 200 g ha⁻¹ and M_2 300 g ha⁻¹, seed treatment M₃ 25, M₄ 50 g ha⁻¹ seeds and Ammonium molybdate solution M₅ (12.5 % Mo) before sowing with Rhizobium i.e., Ro: without, R₁: with *Rhizobium* 10 ml kg⁻¹ seeds inoculation. cowpea was planted with row to row distance of 45 cm and plant to plant distance of 30 cm in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replication (Panse and Sukhatme 1985). All the recommended cultural practices and plant protection measures were followed. Observations were recorded from each plant of for yield and various qualitative characters and the data were subjected to statistical analyses. Methods followed for plant analysis: The pods and plants of cowpea were analyzed for N, P, K and Fe content following standard methods given in Table 1.The concentration of the nutrients was expressed in terms of percentage or mg kg⁻¹ as the case may be. Subsequently, the uptake of these nutrients by pod and haulm portion of cowpea was also calculated by using the following formula.

Nutrient uptake by plant:

N, P, K uptake
$$\binom{\text{kg}}{\text{ha}} = \frac{\text{Nutrient content (%)x Yield } \binom{\text{kg}}{\text{ha}}}{100}$$

Fe uptake (g/ha) = $\frac{\text{Nutrient content } (\text{mg/kg})x \text{ Yield(kg/ha)}}{1000}$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield attributes: The study revealed the effect of Ammonium molybdenum and Rhizobium was found significant in total yield (g) of cowpea. Maximum vield was observed in M₂ was 41.83 and Rhizobium (R1) treated seed also help to enhance the cowpea yield was 48.28 and treatment combination M₂R₁ was found maximum in 59.67. This may be because to the presence of molybdenum and biofertilizers, such as Rhizobium, which increase root morphology through the production of cytokinins by Rhizobium and growth hormones like IAA. As a result, the plant would have been able to better absorb nutrients, producing more pods per plant and seeds per pod [8]. These findings are in collaboration with those reported by Kumar et al. [9] and Pragi et al. [10].

Nutrient Content and uptake by plant the Table 2 revealed that the phosphorus, potassium and iron content in pod increased significantly over maximum control. The phosphorus and potassium (0.26 and 1.78 %, respectively) contain was reported in 300 g ha-1 ammonium molybdate in soil application (M₂) while the iron contain was superior found in M₃ (197.57 mg kg⁻ 1) whereas, the Rhizobium (R1) treated seeds also helps to improve phosphorus, potassium and iron content in cowpea pod was (0.25%, 1.76% and 195.43 mg kg⁻¹, respectively). The rhizobacteria Rhizobium not only fixed nitrogen but also produced growth hormones, solubilized phosphates, and enhanced the growth and yield of non-legume plants by colonizing their roots 1-aminocyclopropane-1-By utilizing [11]. carboxylate (ACC), a plant ethylene precursor chemical, rhizobium may safeguard plants from ethylene stress. When plants are under stress, the enzyme ACC deaminase mediates the breakdown of ACC to ammonia and ketobutyrate, which reduces the production of ethylene. ACC deaminase-producing rhizobium enhanced nitrogen fixation showed and nodulation traits [12].

The Table 3 showed the significant effect of nitrogen and phosphorus uptake in pod and haulm and total uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus in cowpea and the maximum result was reported in M_2 was 229.15, 200.91, 428.87, 17.57 and 21.01 kg ha⁻¹, respectively and while the total phosphorus uptake was found in M_4 (34.76) and the *Rhizobium* (R₁) treated seeds also helps to improve the uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus in pod and haulm and

Nutrients	Method employed	Reference
N	Wet digestion (Chromic acid)	Trivedi et al. [5]
P and K	Wet digestion (diacid) followed by P: Spectrophotometric (Vanadomolybdophosphoric yellow colour method) K: Flame photometric	Jackson, [6]
Fe	Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS)	Elwell and Gridley, [7]

Table 1. Methods employed for plant analysis

Table 2. Effect of different molybdenum doses and Rhizobium on total pod yield (t ha⁻¹), phosphorus in pod (%), potassium in pod (%) and Fe content in pod (mg kg⁻¹) of cowpea cv. AVCP 1

	Total yi	eld quintal	per ha ⁻¹	Phosph	Phosphorus in pod (%)			um in pod (%	b)	Fe content in pod (mg kg ⁻¹)			
	R₀	R ₁	Mean	R₀	R ₁	Mean	R₀	R ₁	Mean	R₀	R 1	Mean	
Mo	38.33	40.00	39.17	0.21	0.22	0.22	1.63	1.70	1.67	168.06	177.18	172.62	
M 1	47.00	48.33	47.67	0.23	0.24	0.24	1.71	1.72	1.72	178.74	181.71	180.22	
M ₂	38.67	59.67	49.17	0.25	0.28	0.26	1.75	1.81	1.78	182.62	206.68	194.65	
Мз	39.00	44.67	41.83	0.24	0.26	0.25	1.73	1.74	1.74	195.41	199.61	197.51	
M 4	38.33	48.67	43.50	0.24	0.26	0.25	1.73	1.79	1.76	200.66	204.64	202.65	
M5	39.67	48.33	44.00	0.24	0.26	0.25	1.73	1.78	1.76	201.53	202.78	202.15	
Mean	40.17	48.28		0.24	0.25		1.71	1.76		187.83	195.43		
	М	R	M×R	М	R	M × R	Μ	R	M × R	М	R	M×R	
S.Em. ±	1.18	0.68	1.67	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	2.84	1.64	4.02	
C.D. 5 %	3.45	1.99	4.88	0.03	0.01	NS	0.07	0.04	NS	8.34	4.81	NS	
C.V. (%)	6.52			8.37			3.41			3.63			

*S.Em. ±: Standrad of Error of Mean, C.D. 5 %: Critical Difference and C.V. (%): Coefficient of Variation percentage

	Nitrogen uptake		Nitrogen uptake kg			Total Nitrogen			Phosphorus uptake			Phosphorus uptake			Total phosphorus			
	kg ha ⁻¹ in pod.			ha⁻¹ in <i>haulm</i> .			kg ha⁻¹			kg ha⁻¹in pod			kg ha ⁻¹ in haulm			uptake kg ha ⁻¹ in		
	R₀	R₁	Mean	R₀	R₁	Mean	R₀	R₁	Mean	R₀	R₁	Mean	R₀	R₁	Mean	R₀	R1	Mean
Mo	160.01	179.35	169.68	167.48	176.35	171.92	273.53	345.33	309.43	13.60	13.98	13.79	17.54	18.26	17.90	24.38	26.18	25.28
M1	185.77	192.17	188.97	178.82	184.13	181.47	370.97	407.97	389.47	14.97	16.21	15.59	18.72	20.23	19.48	26.92	31.53	29.23
M2	207.72	250.57	229.15	190.77	211.05	200.91	375.54	482.20	428.87	16.59	18.55	17.57	20.69	21.33	21.01	28.23	37.74	32.99
M ₃	218.31	227.27	222.79	187.62	191.68	189.65	404.16	452.27	428.22	15.19	17.60	16.39	19.78	20.03	19.91	30.84	33.38	32.11
M 4	222.58	234.88	228.73	188.63	193.76	191.20	413.64	458.38	436.01	15.09	17.74	16.42	19.05	20.94	20.00	33.42	36.10	34.76
Мs	204.01	238.25	221.13	191.38	186.54	188.96	392.90	420.27	406.58	15.35	15.99	15.67	19.43	20.33	19.88	30.71	31.18	30.95
Mean	199.73	220.42		184.12	190.58		371.79	427.73		15.13	16.68		19.20	20.19		29.08	32.69	
	М	R	M ×R	М	R	M ×R	М	R	M ×R	М	R	M ×R	Μ	R	M ×R	М	R	M ×R
S.Em±	3.40	1.96	4.80	3.35	1.93	4.73	6.62	3.82	9.36	0.48	0.28	0.68	0.42	0.24	0.59	0.97	0.56	1.37
C.D.5%	9.96	5.75	14.09	9.82	5.67	NS	19.42	11.21	27.46	1.40	0.81	NS	1.23	0.71	NS	2.84	1.64	4.01
C.V(%)	3.96			4.37			4.06			7.38			5.22			7.67		

Table 3. Effect of different molybdenum doses and *Rhizobium* on nitrogen uptake kg ha⁻¹ in pod, nitrogen uptake kg ha⁻¹ in haulm, total nitrogen kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus uptake kg ha⁻¹ in pod, phosphorus uptake kg ha⁻¹ in haulm and total phosphorus kg ha⁻¹ of cowpea cv. AVCP 1

*S.Em. ±: Standrad of Error of Mean, C.D. 5 %: Critical Difference and C.V. (%): Coefficient of Variation percentage

Table 4. Effect of different molybdenum doses and *Rhizobium* on potassium uptake kg ha⁻¹ in pod, potassium uptake kg ha⁻¹ in haulm and total potassium kg ha⁻¹ of cowpea cv. AVCP 1

	Pota	ssium uptake	kg ha ⁻¹ in pod	Potas	sium uptake kg	ha ⁻¹ in haulm	Total potassium uptake kg ha ⁻¹			
	R₀	R ₁	Mean	R₀	R 1	Mean	R₀	R ₁	Mean	
Μο	83.95	90.10	87.03	50.66	56.56	53.61	127.37	145.31	136.34	
M 1	91.12	97.16	94.14	67.83	68.50	68.17	168.25	151.47	159.86	
M ₂	98.03	116.63	107.33	70.63	74.96	72.80	180.44	182.80	181.62	
Мз	93.07	109.39	101.23	60.87	68.27	64.57	151.65	165.85	158.75	
M4	110.17	113.98	112.08	59.19	70.31	64.75	174.50	180.85	177.67	
M5	108.62	109.72	109.17	68.81	69.58	69.20	175.86	176.11	175.99	
Mean	97.49	106.16		63.00	68.03		163.01	167.07		
	М	R	M×R	Μ	R	M×R	М	R	M×R	
S.Em. ±	1.87	1.08	2.65	1.18	0.68	1.66	2.03	1.18	2.88	
C.D. 5 %	5.49	3.167	7.76	3.45	1.99	4.88	5.97	3.45	8.44	
C.V. (%)	4.5			4.4			3.02			

*S.Em. ±: Standrad of Error of Mean, C.D. 5 %: Critical Difference and C.V. (%): Coefficient of Variation percentage

total nitrogen and phosphorus was 220.42, 190.58, 427.73, 16.68, 20.19 and 32.69 kg ha-1. respectively. The interaction effect of ammonium molybdate and Rhizobium was found to be significant in nitrogen uptake in pod and total uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus in cowpea and the result reported the maximum in M₂R₁ was 250.57, 482.20 and 37.74 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Table 4 shows the significant effect of potassium uptake in pod and haulm and total uptake of potassium. The maximum potassium uptake in pod was reported in M₄ (112.08 kg ha⁻¹) whereas the maximum potassium uptake in haulm and total uptake of potassium was found in M2 was 72.80 and 181.62 kg ha⁻¹ and the *Rhizobium* (R₁) treated seeds also helps to improve the potassium uptake in pod and haulm and total uptake of potassium was 106.16, 68.03 and 167.07 kg ha-¹. The interaction effect of ammonium molvbdate and Rhizobium was found to be significant in potassium uptake in pod and haulm and total uptake of potassium in cowpea and the result reported maximum in M₂R₁ was 116.63, 74.96 182.80 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. and Since molybdenum is a component of the enzyme nitrogenase, which is necessary for the symbiotic nitrogen fixation process, a notable increase in nutritional levels may be the result of increased nitrogen availability. These findings closely match those of Shivakumar and Kumutha [13] and Singh et al. [14]. Molybdenum increased the activity of the enzymes nitrogenase and nitrate reductase, which improved the soil's ability to fix nitrogen. This can be the result of elevated enzymatic activity in Rhizobium and plants. Similar findings were published by Amit et al. [15], who discovered that Rhizobium and molybdenum greatly enhanced the plant's uptake of nitrogen, phosphate and potassium in mung beans compared to the control. Additionally, Hossain et al. (2005) found that Bradyrhizobium and molybdenum applied together increased nitrogen uptake (132.2 kg ha-1) in cv. G-2 (120.6 kg ha-1). The use of micronutrients has been found to be beneficial in enhancing the uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus, while Rhizobium inoculation is known to enhance nodulation and nitrogen fixation in plants. This is because molybdenum replaces nitrogen fertilizers in legumes, enhances rhizobial infection, aids in the transport of sugars and there is a close relationship between the supply of micronutrients and nitrogen content [16]. According to Kyei-Boahen et al. [17], inoculants can enhance food safety by raising yield and nutritional value. The outcome supported the findings of Singh et al.

[18] in cowpea and Jethra and Kothari [19] in fenugreek [20,21].

4. CONCLUSION

Rhizobium inoculation and ammonium molvbdate application both significantly increase cowpea yield and nutrient uptake, according to the study's findings. Rhizobium seed inoculation with 300 g ha⁻¹ ammonium molybdate produced the maximum vield, indicating that this combination successfullv improves crop performance. Furthermore, with significant improvements in nutrient uptake, the treatments enhanced the nutrient content of cowpea pods, especially the levels of phosphorus, potassium, and iron. Their combined effect on the absorption of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium showed the synergy between ammonium molybdate and Rhizobium inoculation. The findings highlight the significance of using molybdenum and Rhizobium of this kind in terms to maximize boost crop yields, nutrient uptake, and encourage sustainable farming methods and improving soil health.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Jayathilake C, Visvanathan R, Deen A, Bangamuwage R, Jayawardana BC, Nammi S, Liyanage R. Cowpea: An overview on its nutritional facts and health benefits. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2018;98(13):4793-4806.
- Ayalew T, Yoseph T. Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.): A choice crop for sustainability during the climate change periods. Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology. 2022;10(3):154-162.
- Boukar O, Belko N, Chamarthi S, Togola A, Batieno J, Owusu E, Haruna M, Diallo S, Umar ML, Olufajo O, Fatokun C. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) genetics, genomics and breeding. Plant Breeding. 2019;138(4):415–424.

- Harshini K, Singh V, George SG, Singh SK. Effect of molybdenum and niofertilizers on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;34(12):92-98.
- Trivedi BS, Patel GG, Desai RM, Padhiyar GM. Comparison of Kjeldahl's and chromic acid methods of nitrogen determination. Gujarat Agricultural University, Research Journal. 1999;25(91):9-14.
- Jackson ML. In: Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1973.
- 7. Elwell WT, Gridley JAF. In: Atomic absorption spectrophotometry, Pergamon Press Ltd., London. 1967;221.
- Chatterjee R, Bandyopadhyay S. Effect of boron, molybdenum and biofertilizers on growth and yield of cowpea in acid soil of eastern Himalayan region. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agriculture Science. 2017;16(4):332-336.
- Kumar R, Tomar GS, Kumawat N, Singh SP. Effect of varieties, plant density and molybdenum on yield and economics of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) under rainfed condition of Chhattisgarh. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(1): 1867-1870.
- 10. Pragi KL, Leva RL, Vaghasiya HY, Patel HA. Integrated nutrient management in summer cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) under South Gujarat condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(9):1513-1522.
- 11. Qureshi MA, Shahzad H, Saeed MS, Ullah S, Ali MA, Mujeeb F, Anjum MA. Relative potential of Rhizobium species to enhance the growth and yield attributes of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Eurasian Journal of Soil Science. 2019;8(2):159-166.
- 12. Verma R, Annapragada H, Katiyar N, Shrutika N, Das K, Murugesan S. Rhizobium beneficial microbes. Agro-Ecology. 2020;37–54.

- Shivakumar U, Kumutha K. Effect of Rhizobium and molybdenum on nodulation yield and yield contributing characters of groundnut. Journal of Ecobiology. 2003;15:451-455.
- Singh S, Sharma V, Shukla AK, Kaur J, Verma V, Kaur M, Singh P, Brestic M, Gaber A, Hossain A. Interactive effects of molybdenum, zinc and iron on the grain yield, quality, and nodulation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) in North-Western India. Molecules. 2022;27:3622.
- 15. Amit KJ, Sudhir K, Pawar JDS. Response of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) to phosphorus and micronutrients on N and P uptake and seed quality. Legume Research. 2007;30:201–4.
- 16. Jyung WH, Krishna A. Effect of micronutrients in legumes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1975;55:414 20.
- Kyei-Boahen S, Savala CE, Chikoye D. Abaidoo R. Growth and yield responses of cowpea to inoculation and phosphorus fertilization in different environments. Frontiers in plant science. 2017;8:646.
- Singh BR, Khadelwal B, Singh B. Effect of manganese and molybdenum fertilization with Rhizobium inoculation on the yield and protein content of cowpea. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 1992;40(4):738-741.
- 19. Jethra JK, Kothari ML. Response of fenugreek to molybdenum and cobalt fertilization management. Arid Ecosystem. 1995;199-200.
- 20. Hossain MM, Mian MH, Islam MR. Effect of phosphorus, molybdenum and BradyRhizobium inoculants on growth, yield and N uptake of soybean. International Journal of Subtropical Agricultural Research and Development. 2005;3:29-34.
- 21. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India. 1985; 152-161.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125915